# MORE HYPOTHESIS TESTING FOR TWO-WAY ANOVA

## What do we do after testing for interaction?

This depends on whether or not interaction is significant (statistically or otherwise) *and* on what the original questions were in designing the experiment *and* on whether or not the analyzer wishes to engage in data-snooping *and* on the context of the experiment. We will spend a while discussing this.

I. If we *reject*  $H_0^{AB}$  (i.e., assume there *is* interaction) then it is usually inappropriate to test for main effects (that is, the contributions of the two factors A and B separately), since the question of what a "main effect" is in the presence of interaction is unclear. (How can you "separate out" the effect of A from the interaction if there is interaction?) Instead, it is usually preferable to use the equivalent cell-means model to examine contrasts in the treatment combinations.

II. If we do not reject  $H_0^{AB}$  (i.e., decide there is *no* interaction), then we are usually interested in main effects. These can be tested within the complete model. *Staying with this model is advisable rather than switching to the inequivalent main-effects model*.

#### Testing the contribution of each factor in the complete model (equal sample sizes)

*Note*: We are still assuming equal sample sizes.

We wish to test whether or not the factor A is needed in the model. Since A is included in two ways, via the  $\alpha_i$ 's and also via the interaction terms  $(\alpha\beta)_{ij}$ , we can frame this question as a hypothesis test with null hypothesis

 $H_0^{A+AB}$ : Every  $\alpha_i$  and every  $(\alpha\beta)_{ij} = 0$ and alternate hypothesis

 $H_a^{A+AB}$ : At least one of the  $\alpha_{ii}$ 's or  $(\alpha\beta)_{ii}$ 's is not zero.

However, it is traditional to use instead the following test:

 $H_0^A$ :  $\alpha_1^* = \alpha_2^* = \dots \alpha_a^*$ 

 $H_a^A$ : At least two of the  $\alpha_i^*$ 's are different,

where  $\alpha_i^* = \alpha_i + (\overline{\alpha\beta})_{i}$ . That is, the test is whether or not the levels of A, averaged over the levels of B, have the same average effect on the response. If there is no interaction, then the two null hypotheses,  $H_0^{A+AB}$  and  $H_0^A$  (and hence the corresponding submodels) are the same.

We will again use an F test comparing the full model with a reduced model: the one where  $H_0^A$  is true. If sample sizes are equal, it can be shown that the least squares estimate of  $E[Y_{iit}]$  under this new reduced model (i.e, under  $H_0^A$ ) is

$$\overline{y}_{ij}$$
 -  $\overline{y}_{i..}$  +  $\overline{y}_{...}$ ,

giving sum of squares for the reduced model

$$ssE_0^A = \sum_i \sum_j \sum_t (y_{ijt} - \overline{y}_{ij\cdot} + \overline{y}_{i\cdot\cdot} - \overline{y}_{\cdot\cdot})^2$$

which by appropriate algebraic manipulations becomes

$$ssE_0^A = \sum_i \sum_j \sum_t (y_{ijt} - \overline{y}_{ij\cdot})^2 + br \sum_{i=1}^a (\overline{y}_{i\cdot\cdot} - \overline{y}_{...})^2$$
$$= ssE + br \sum_{i=1}^a (\overline{y}_{i\cdot\cdot} - \overline{y}_{...})^2,$$

so the sum of squares for treatment factor A is  $ssA = ssE_0^A - ssE$ 

$$= \operatorname{br} \sum_{i=1}^{a} (\overline{y}_{i\cdots} - \overline{y}_{\cdots})^{2}$$
$$= (1/\operatorname{br}) \sum_{i=1}^{a} (y_{i\cdots})^{2} - (y_{\cdots})^{2}/\operatorname{abr}$$

which resembles the formula for ssT used to test equality of effects in one-way analysis of variance. The reasoning behind the test used is: If  $H_0^A$  is true, then ssA should be small compared to ssE, so we will have evidence lending doubt to  $H_0^A$  if ssA/ssE is unusually large.

If SSA is the random variable corresponding to ssA, it can be shown that when  $H_0^A$  is true and sample sizes are equal,

i) SSA/ $\sigma^2 \sim \chi^2(a-1)$ 

ii) SSA and SSE are independent.

Thus, when sample sizes are equal and  $H_0^A$  is true,

$$\frac{SSA/(a-1)\sigma^2}{SSE/(n-ab)\sigma^2} = \frac{MSA}{MSE} \sim F(a-1,n-ab)$$

Since msA/msE is just a scalar multiple of the ratio ssA/ssE, we can use msA/msE as a test statistic, rejecting for large values.

Similarly, we can form the *sum of squares for treatment factor B* and obtain an F-test based on

$$\frac{SSB/(b-1)\sigma^2}{SSE/(n-ab)\sigma^2} = \frac{MSB}{MSE} \sim F(b-1,n-ab)$$

for

 $H_0^{B}$ : Every  $\beta_j$  and every  $(\alpha\beta)_{ij} = 0$  against the alternate hypothesis

 $H_a^B$ : At least one of the  $\beta_i$ 's or  $(\alpha\beta)_{ii}$ 's is not zero.

### Analysis of Variance Table

For each of the three tests (for interaction, effect of A and effect of B), we have a corresponding sum of squares, ssAB, ssA, and ssB. We also have the error sum of squares, ssE. If we add up the formulas for these three sums of squares and do appropriate algebraic manipulations, we will get

$$ssA + aaB + ssAB + ssE = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{t} (y_{ijt} - \overline{y}_{...})^{2}.$$

This last sum of squares is called the *total sum of squares*, denoted ssT. It can be seen as a measure of the total variability of the data without taking into account either A or B. Similarly, ssE is a measure of the variability taking into account A, B and their interaction; ssA is a measure of the variability taking B into account but not A, and ssB is a measure of the variability taking A into account but not B.

The sums of squares and the additional information used in the tests for A, B and AB are traditionally summarized in an *Analysis of Variance Table* with one line each for A, B, AB, error, and "total sum of squares"

sstot = ssA + ssB + ssAB + ssE

## Interpreting ANOVA tests

Interpretation requires thought -- we need to taking into account the purpose of the study, the context, multiple comparisons, and whether or not we are willing to do data snooping. Interpretation can sometimes be frustrating -- for example, what if the test for interaction is significant, but the test for one of the factors is not?

*Examples*: Battery and reaction time.

*Note*: When sample sizes are unequal, the formulae for the sums of squares are more complicated, and the corresponding random variables are not independent. More on this later.