

## REGRESSION MODELS

**One approach:** Use theoretical considerations to develop a model for the mean function or other aspects of the conditional distribution.

The next two approaches require some terminology:

**Error:** 
$$e|x = Y|(X = x) - E(Y|X = x)$$

$$= Y|x - E(Y|x) \text{ for short}$$

- So  $Y|x = E(Y|x) + e|x$  (Picture this ...)
- $E|x$  is a random variable
- $E(e|x) = E(Y|x) - E(Y|x) = E(Y|x) - E(Y|x) = 0$
- $\text{Var}(e|x) =$
- The distribution of  $e|x$  is

**Second approach:**

**Bivariate Normal Model:** Suppose X and Y have a bivariate normal distribution.

*Recall:*

- $Y|x$  is normal
- $E(Y|x) = \mu_Y + \rho \frac{\sigma_Y}{\sigma_X} (x - \mu_X)$  (linear mean function)
- $\text{Var}(Y|x) = \sigma_Y^2 (1 - \rho^2)$  (constant variance)

Thus:

- $E(Y|x) = a + bx$
- $\text{Var}(Y|x) = \sigma^2$

where

$b =$

$a =$

$\sigma^2 =$

Implications for  $e|x$ :

- $e|x \sim$

**Third approach: Model the conditional distributions**

### "The" Simple Linear Regression Model

#### Version I:

*Only one assumption:*  $E(Y|x)$  is a linear function of  $x$ .

*Typical notation:*  $E(Y|x) = \eta_0 + \eta_1 x$  (or  $E(Y|x) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x$ )

*Equivalent formulation:*  $Y|x = \eta_0 + \eta_1 x + e|x$

*Interpretations of parameters:* (Picture!)

$\eta_1$ :

$\eta_0$ : (if ...)

*When model fits:*

- X, Y bivariate normal
- Other situations  
Example: Blood lactic acid  
Why is this not bivariate normal?
- Model might also be used when mean function is not linear, but linear approximation is reasonable.

#### Version II: Two assumptions:

1.  $E(Y|x) = \eta_0 + \eta_1 x$  (linear mean function)
2.  $\text{Var}(Y|x) = \sigma^2$  (constant variance)

*Equivalent formulation:*

- 1'.  $E(Y|x) = \eta_0 + \eta_1 x$  (linear mean function)
- 2'.  $\text{Var}(e|x) = \sigma^2$  (constant error variance)

[Draw a picture!]

When model fits:

- If  $X$  and  $Y$  have a bivariate normal distribution.
- Credible (at least approximately) in many other situations as well, for transformed variables if not for the original predictor. (i.e., it's often useful)

Until/unless otherwise stated, we will henceforth assume the Version II model -- i.e., we all assume conditions (1) and (2) (equivalently, (1') and (2').)

Thus we have three parameters:

$\eta_0, \eta_1$  (which determine  $E(Y|x)$ ) and  $\sigma^2$  (which determines  $\text{Var}(Y|x)$ )

**The goal:** To estimate  $\eta_0$  and  $\eta_1$  (and later  $\sigma^2$ ) from data.

*Notation:* The estimates of  $\eta_0$  and  $\eta_1$  will be called  $\hat{\eta}_0$  and  $\hat{\eta}_1$ , respectively. From  $\hat{\eta}_0$  and  $\hat{\eta}_1$ , we obtain an estimate

$$\hat{E}(Y|x) = \hat{\eta}_0 + \hat{\eta}_1 x$$

of  $E(Y|x)$ .

*Note:*  $\hat{E}(Y|x)$  is the same notation we used earlier for the lowess estimate of  $E(Y|x)$ . Be sure to keep the two estimates straight.

*More terminology:*

- We label our data  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$ .
- $\hat{y}_i = \hat{\eta}_0 + \hat{\eta}_1 x_i$  is our resulting estimate  $\hat{E}(Y|x_i)$  of  $E(Y|x_i)$ . It is called the  *$i^{\text{th}}$  fitted value* or  *$i^{\text{th}}$  fit*.
- $\hat{e}_i = y_i - \hat{y}_i$  is called the  *$i^{\text{th}}$  residual*.

*Note:*  $\hat{e}_i$  (the residual) is analogous to but not the same as  $e_i$  (the error). Indeed,  $\hat{e}_i$  can be considered an estimate of the error  $e_i = y_i - E(Y|x_i)$ .

Picture:

## Least Squares Regression

- Method of obtaining estimates  $\hat{\eta}_0$  and  $\hat{\eta}_1$  for  $\eta_0$  and  $\eta_1$

Consider lines  $y = h_0 + h_1x$ . We want the one that is "closest" to the data points  $(x_1, y_1)$ ,  $(x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$  collectively.

What does "closest" mean? Various possibilities:

1. The usual math meaning: shortest perpendicular distance to point.

Problems:

- Gets unwieldy quickly.
- We're really interested in getting close to  $y$  for a given  $x$  -- which suggests:

2. Minimize  $\sum d_i$ , where  $d_i = y_i - (h_0 + h_1x_i)$  = vertical distance from point to candidate line. (Note: If the candidate line is the desired best fit then  $d_i =$  .)

Problem: Some  $d_i$ 's will be positive, some negative, so will cancel out in the sum.

This suggests:

3. Minimize  $\sum |d_i|$ . This is feasible with modern computers, and is sometimes done.

Problems:

- This can be computationally difficult and lengthy.
- The solution might not be unique.

Example:

- The method does not lend itself to inference about the fit.

4. Minimize  $\sum d_i^2$

This works!

See demo.

*Terminology:*

- $\sum d_i^2$  is called the *residual sum of squares* (denoted  $RSS(h_0, h_1)$ ) or the *objective function*.
- The values of  $h_0$  and  $h_1$  that minimize  $RSS(h_0, h_1)$  are denoted  $\hat{\eta}_0$  and  $\hat{\eta}_1$ , respectively, and called the *ordinary least squares* (or *OLS*) *estimates* of  $\eta_0$  and  $\eta_1$