## MORE ON LEVERAGE AND VARIANCES OF RESIDUALS

(Reference: Section 7.6.3)

*Recall*: In simple linear regression, to establish that  $Var(y - \hat{y}|x) = \sigma^2(1 + \text{leverage})$  for a new observation from Ylx, we reasoned that since y and  $\hat{y}$  are independent,

$$Var(y - \hat{y}|x) = \sigma^2 + Var(\hat{y}|x) = \sigma^2 + Var(\hat{E}(Y|x))$$

We *cannot* apply this to find  $Var(y_i - \hat{y}_i | x)$ . Why not?

Instead, we need to go through a procedure much like that in finding  $Var(\hat{E}(Y|x))$ , taking covariances into account. The result, generalized to multiple regression:

$$\operatorname{Var}(\hat{e}_i | \underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \sigma^2 (1 - h(\underline{\mathbf{u}}_i))$$

*Notation*:  $h_i = h_{ii} = h(\underline{u}_i)$  (=  $h(\underline{x}_i)$  by abuse of notation) is called the *i*<sup>th</sup> leverage.

So:  $Var(\hat{e}_i) = \sigma^2 (1 - h_i)$ 

Consequence: Since  $Var(\hat{e}_i) \ge 0$ ,

 $h_i \le 1$ .

Note:

i) h could be > 1 for other values of  $\underline{x}$ . ii) h ≥ 0 since Var (Ê(Yl $\underline{x}$ )) = h $\sigma^2$ 

*Practical consequence*: If  $h_i$  is close to 1 (which is large for a leverage), then  $Var(\hat{e}_i)$  is small. Recalling that  $E(\hat{e}_i) = 0$ , this implies that  $\hat{e}_i$  is small -- so the least squares fit is close to ( $\underline{u}_i$ ,  $y_i$ ). In other words:

If  $h_i$  is close to 1, then  $\underline{x}_i$  is influential.

Thus it is advisable to check leverages to identify possible influential observations.